

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/631,864	08/01/2003	Takashi Imanishi	Q76786	9156
	65565 SUGHRUE-26	7590 05/31/2007 55550	•	EXAMINER	
	2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			JOYCE, WILLIAM C	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/631,864		IMANISHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William C. Joyce	3682	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 15 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AI	LLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, at places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replication of the following time periods:	ffidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) Make the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FILE.	f the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set for AMENDMENTS	), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NO (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	·
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally represents.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	, timely filed amendment canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:	vill be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Necause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidation and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appearshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after explanation of the status of the claims after explanation of the status of the claims after the status of the status of the claims after the status of the status of the claims after the status of the sta	entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application is See Continuation Sheet.	in condition for allowance because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	,
13.  Other:	. 1
With the same of t	Man Gy 5/29/07 LLIAM C. JOYCE
	MARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is acknowledged the disclosed device may be different from the hydraulic control circuit disclosed by Greenwood et al., however the claim language does not preclude the prior art device. Specifically, applicant argues that "in the absence of correction by the oil pressure corecting apparatus, the oil pressure set by the main oil pressure is sufficient to operate the transmission under all transmission ratios" (page 2 of remarks). This argument is not persuasive for the following reasons. It is understood the oil pressure correction apparatus (302) is configured to reduce the line oil pressure directed to the pressing device so as to maintain an optimum pressing force at any transmission ratio. In the event the correcting apparatus fails to reduce the oil pressure, it is understood the maximum oil pressure produced by the oil pump (Fig. 8) will be applied to the pressing device. For example, if the line between the oil pressure correcting apparatus (302) and the control valve (204) is cut or disconnected, it is understood the control valve (204) will not perform oil pressure correction and therefore the oil pump pressure will be transmitted to the pressing device. Accordingly, the oil pump is capable of producing an oil pressure to be transmitted to the pressing device such that in the absence of oil pressure correction by the correction aparatus, the oil pressure control unit will be sufficient to operate the transmission under all transmission ratios.

It is further acknowledged the Greenwood et al. device includes a failsafe operation mode, wherein the transmission is configure to operate so as to "limp home." For example, if the control valve (204) malfunctions or if the hydraulic line supplying the control valve becomes blocked, it is understood the oil pressure supplied to the roller actuator can be supplied to the pressing device by way of a wins valve arrangement (222). In this case, it is acknowledged the hydraulc pressure supplied to the pressing device may be inadequate to operate the transmission in any transmission ratio. However, it is understood the prior art transmission is capable of operating as defined by the claims and demonstrated above.

Accordingly, the claims stand rejected as defined in the Final Office Action.